INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP2007/075403

A. CLASSIF	CATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
	32(2006.01)i, A61P9/00(2006.01	L) i . A61P19/08(2006 01)	A 61 P21 / 04
(2006 01)i, A61P25/14(2006.01)i, A61P25	5/16/2006 01); #61P25/1	9/2006 01) 1
A61P25/2	2(2006.01)i, A61P25/24(2006.01	1) 1	0(2006.01)1,
According to It	ternational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nation	end election and IDC	L
		onal classification and IPC	
B. FIELDS S			
Minimum docu	mentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)	
A61K31/2	32, A61P9/00, A61P19/08, A61P2	21/04, A61P25/14, A61P25	5/16,
A61P25/1	3, A61P25/22, A61P25/24, A61P2	25/28	
Documentation	searched other than minimum documentation to the ex	xtent that such documents are included in	the fields searched
	Shinan Koho 1922-1996 J	Titsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho	1996-2008
Kokai C	Titsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2008 T	loroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1994-2008
Electronic data	base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and subara masticable	
BIOSIS	(STN), CAplus (STN), EMBASE (ST	NI MEDITANE (CTM)	terms used)
	(OLIV) GIPLOS (OLIV), EMEROE (OLI	N), MEDDINE (SIN)	
			•
C. DOCUME	NTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	JP 2003-48831 A (Suntory Lt	d.).	1-12
	21 February, 2003 (21.02.03)		1-12
	.Full text; particularly, Cla	ims 1 to 23:	
•	Par. Nos. [0016], [0017]; ex	camples 6 to 8	
	& WO 2003/013497 A1 & EE	2 1419768 A1	
	& US 2004/266874 A1		•
x	JP 2006-83134 A (Suntory Lt	a)	1-12
•	30 March, 2006 (30.03.06),		1-12
	Claims 1 to 15; Par. No. [00	1131 · ovamolog	
	4 to 6	11), examples	
	& WO 2006/030553 A1 & US	2007/254954 NI	•
	= a 05	2007/254954 AI	
			•
		. [
·	,		
• •	·		
		•	·
Y Further do	cuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
· Special categ	ories of cited documents:		
	ining the general state of the art which is not considered to	"T" later document published after the intern date and not in conflict with the application	ational filing date or priority
be of particul	ar relevance	the principle or theory underlying the inv	ention
	ation or patent but published on or after the international filing	"X" document of particular relevance; the cla	
date	-	considered novel or cannot be consider	red to involve an inventive
document wi	nich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is clish the publication date of another citation or other	step when the document is taken alone	
special reason	(as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the clair	imed invention cannot be
	erring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	considered to involve an inventive step combined with one or more other such do	cuments, such combination
document pul	slished prior to the international filing date but later than the	being obvious to a person skilled in the ar	t
priority date of	laimed	"&" document member of the same patent fan	ily
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• •
	completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear	ch report
	uary, 2008 (19.02.08)	04 March, 2008 (04.	
	·		
	address of the ISA/	Authorized officer	
Japanes	e Patent Office		
csimile No.		Telephone No.	
m PC:1715A/210	(second sheet) (April 2007)		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP2007/075403

		P2007/075403
C (Continuation	a). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
х	JP 2006-83136 A (Suntory Ltd.), 30 March, 2006 (30.03.06), Claims 1 to 16; Par. No. [0013]; examples 4 to 6 & WO 2006/030552 A1 & US 2007/270493 A1	1-12
Х А	WO 2005/018632 A1 (BTG International Ltd.), 03 March, 2005 (03.03.05), Claims 20 to 29 & EP 1660071 A & JP 2007-502805 A	1-5,7-11 6,12
· X A	KOTANI, S., et al., Dietary supplementation of arachidonic and docosahexanoic acids improves cognitive dysfunction, Neuroscience Reseach, 2006, 56, pp. 159-164	1-5,7-11 6,12
X A	WO 2003/004667 A1 (Suntory Ltd.), 16 January, 2003 (16.01.03), Claims 15, 16, 19 to 22; example 9 & EP 1411129 A1 & US 2004/0171127 A1	6,12 1-5,7-11
X A	JP 2006-502196 A (Suntory Ltd.), 19 January, 2006 (19.01.06), Claims 20 to 28; example 8 & WO 2004/028529 A1 & EP 1542670 A & US 2006/0057185 A1	6,12 1-5,7-11
X A	JP 2006-521369 A (Suntory Ltd.), 21 September, 2006 (21.09.06), Claims 19 to 27; example 8 & WO 2004/084882 A1 & EP 1605930 A & US 2006/0073187 A1	6,12 1-5,7-11
P,X	JP 2007-8863 A (Suntory Ltd.), 18 January, 2007 (18.01.07), Full text & WO 2007/004685 A2	1-12
A	JP 8-143454 A (Kabushiki Kaisha Kanagawa Kagaku Kenkyusho), 04 June, 1996 (04.06.96), Full text; particularly, referential example 3 (Family: none)	1-12

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP2007/075403

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.: 13-17 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: The inventions in claims 13 to 17 pertain to methods for treatment of th human body by therapy and thus relate to a subject matter which thi International Searching Authority is not required to search (PCT Articl 17(2)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 39.1(iv)).
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest
the payment of a protest fee.
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (2)) (April 2007)